

BD of Appeal

Application No: 09/677,493Appeal No: 2009-1164

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: Baoquoc N. To, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way
 San Jose, CA 95133
 Tel: (408) 729-1282
 E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

May 21, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To
 Commissioner for Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reply to Notice of Allowability

Dear Mr. To,

This letter is to reply your "Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on May 17, 2010. I am glad to learn that all my claims are allowable. I sent the "Statement of the Substance of the Interview" to you on May 17, 2010 to response to your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on May 13, 2010. You mailed me the "Office Communication" on April 15, 2010. We had a telephone interview on April 23, 2010. We had telephone interviews and exchanged emails on May 12 & 14, 2010. I followed your instructions as to cancel claims 1 & 3 and to rewrite claims 2 and 4-7. I make minor amendments on claim 1(iv) and claim 7. I combine claims 1, 2, 6 & 7 as claim 2, claims 1, 3 & 4 as claim 4, and redirect dependent claims 5, 6 & 7 on claim 4. I renumber the amended claims as claims 1-5. Attached are the clean and amended versions of my amended claims 1-5 on which both of us have agreed. I require your office to approve my amended claims 1-5 as soon as possible.

I sent my "Reply to 'Office Communication' and Request to Allow Claims 1-7" to your office on April 27, 2010. I insist that claim 1 & 3 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-7 should be all allowed. I

believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependence relationship is the best to show the value of this invention. Attached are the clean and amended versions of claims 1-7. I require your office to approve my Claims 1-7.

I mailed the "Request for Reopen Prosecution before Examiner on the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" under 37 CFR § 41.77(b)(1) to your office on February 7, 2010 and on September 27, 2009, which state enough factual merits and legal bases to oppose the Board's decision to sustain your rejection of Claims 1 & 3.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. I require your office to approve my amended claims as soon as possible. Once I get your approval, I will pay the issue fee to publish my patent.

Sincerely,



George G. Yang
George Guang Yang, Ph.D., *Pro Per*
Independent Inventor